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ABSTRACT

The effects of freezing, fixation and preservation in 70% ethanol or 10% formalin for periods up to 46 months on body morphometry of Loligo sanpaulensis Brakoniecki, 1984, were investigated. Significant morphometric changes were observed, mainly between previously frozen and non-frozen specimens. Some forms of long-term preservation produced further, statistically significant changes. Long-term preservation increased variability of individual effects, widening confidence limits of most indices. Fresh squids or material recently fixed in a standard way should be used for population studies if there is no previous knowledge of the effects of fixation techniques on specific measurements.

Body proportions frequently are used as criteria for distinguishing groups of organisms in terms of species or populations. Morphometric indices are calculated from soft part measurements that are more subject to changes due to fixation and preservation in cephalopods, than, for example, in crustaceans and vertebrates. Therefore, care must be taken to recognize real differences as distinct from those caused by processing techniques.

In loliginids with worldwide distributions and closely related species and subspecies, morphometric indices have been used to identify and classify groups in taxonomic studies (Cohen, 1976; Voss, 1977; Juanicó, 1979) as well as to distinguish stocks or subpopulations (Kashiwada and Recksiek, 1978; Juanicó, 1979). Some papers, notably Cohen (1976), compared short-term effects on squid morphometric indices of refrigeration, fixation in 10% formalin, and preservation in isopropyl alcohol. Our paper deals with short and long-term changes on loliginid squids fixed and preserved in 10% formalin and 70% ethanol, with and without previous freezing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The effects of fixation and preservation on measurements and consequently on morphometric indices were analysed on samples of Loligo sanpaulensis Brakoniecki, 1984, collected in a bottom trawl survey off Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, in 1983 (see Haimovici and Andriguette Jr., 1986). L. brasiliensis Blainville, 1823, was the name most commonly applied to the common loliginid in Brazilian waters in the majority of papers published in South America (e.g. Castellanos, 1967; Juanicó, 1980; Figueiras and Sicardi, 1980; Vigliano, 1985). Brakoniecki (1984) considered L. brasiliensis a nomen dubium, since the holotype no longer exists and because the original description was inadequate and could refer to any of the species of Loliginidae of the Southwest Atlantic (see also Voss, 1974).

Eighty-six specimens were measured within two hours of capture. Then, 40 specimens were frozen, 20 fixed in 10% buffered formalin in sea water and 26 fixed in 70% ethanol. The frozen individuals were thawed and measured again.
Table 1. Methods and length of treatments of *Loligo sanpaulensis*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Number of animals</th>
<th>Mantle length range (mm)</th>
<th>Length of treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Short-term (days)</td>
<td>Long-term (months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% Formalin</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36-104</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70% Ethanol</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>38-112</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freezing</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>52-88</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freezing; then 10% formalin</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>52-79</td>
<td>40-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freezing; then 70% ethanol</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60-88</td>
<td>40-40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

after 40 days. Half were transferred to 10% formalin and half to 70% ethanol. All measurements were repeated after 46 months of preservation in the corresponding fixatives (Table 1). These procedures can be considered fixation and preservation techniques as defined by Roper and Sweeney (1983). Measurements taken were: 1, mantle length (ML); 2, fin length (FL), from posterior mantle tip diagonally to the insertion of anterior left border; 3, fin width (FW); 4, arm length (AL), length of third left arm, measured from its tip to the anterior margin of left eye; 5, length of extended left tentacle (TL), measured as for the arm; 6, eye diameter (ED). Measurements 1, 3 and 6 follow Roper and Voss (1983). The corresponding indices were calculated as percentages of the mantle length, e.g. TLI, ALI, FLI, FWI, EDI (Roper and Voss, 1983).

**COMPARISONS BEFORE AND AFTER TREATMENTS**

Ratios of measurements and indices before and after fixation, and after almost 4 years of preservation are shown in figure 1. Values of 1.0 indicate no change, higher values indicate distension and lower values indicate contraction. Ninety-five-percent confidence intervals were calculated and Student’s “t” tests were performed to show significant differences between rate values and the value of one.

Fixation in formalin increased FW, FWI and FLI, and reduced TL and TLI. Formalin preservation reduced FL, TL and TLI. Fixation and preservation in ethanol reduced all measurements and indices, except FL and FLI for fixation, and AL, ALI and FLI for preservation.

Freezing reduced mantle and fin length, and increased the other measurements. Posterior fixation in ethanol or formalin reduced significantly all measurements. The only index reduced was FWI, after freezing/formalin fixation. Further changes occurred for all indices after long-term preservation.

**COMPARISONS AMONG TREATMENTS**

Growth of *Loligo sanpaulensis* within mantle length ranges of our samples was shown to be allometric by Vigliano (1985) and for other loliginids by Haefner (1964). Indices prior to treatments were observed to be heterogeneous between lots. In order to overcome these constraints, differences between indices before and after each treatment were calculated and covariance analysis (ANCOVA) was applied to the new sets of variables using ML as covariate. Adjusted means and 95% confidence intervals were determined by the GT2 method, using the modification of Gabriel (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981). The overlap of confidence intervals between any pair of treatments indicated whether or not they operate in significantly different ways (Fig. 2).

Differences were found in FWI and EDI between the groups placed directly into formalin vs. alcohol. Arm length index, TLI and FLI of the lot fixed in ethanol differed from the frozen and ethanol fixed lot. Fin length index, TLI and FWI of the lot directly fixed in formalin differed from the previously frozen one. Only formalin fixation following freezing and ethanol fixation following freezing did not show significant differences in any of the calculated indices.

Except for tentacle and arm indices, most differences between treatments were no more observed after long-term preservation. No differences were detected between animals preserved in formalin and in ethanol, as well as between those previously frozen. However, material fixed and preserved directly in ethanol was different from that preserved following freezing in terms of ALI and TLI, and specimens fixed and preserved directly in formalin differed from those previously frozen in their indices of arm length, tentacle length and eye diameter.

**DISCUSSION**

Many teuthologists have expressed concern about the validity of comparing measurements and morphometric indices of specimens of Loliginidae subjected to different fixation and preservation procedures (Haefner, 1964; LaRoe, 1967; Cohen, 1976; Hixon et al., 1981). Haefner (1964) found arms and tentacles to shrink more than 5% in *Loligo pealei* Lesueur, 1821 and *Loliguncula brevis* (Blainville, 1823) preserved in 5% formalin, and showed that growth of those species is allometric, indicating that it is imprudent to compare indices from groups having different sizes. LaRoe (1967) observed a contraction of 1.3% in ML of 15 specimens of *Doryteuthis plei* (Blainville, 1823) fixed in 10% formalin and preserved in 70% ethanol. Hixon et al. (1981) point out an approximate 5% shrinkage for *Loligo pealei* fixed in 10% formalin and later transferred to 55% isopropanol.

As far as we know, only Cohen (1976) compared body proportions in specimens submitted to different fixation procedures. She found differences in the adjusted means of ANCOVA performed with ML as covariate for *Loligo pealei*
fixed in 10% formalin and preserved in 40% isopropyl alcohol, when comparing lots of specimens fixed immediately after capture with those previously refrigerated for 48 hours.

Our experiment included other treatments and periods than those tested by Cohen. In addition, we compared changes rather than absolute differences in measurements and indices between lots. This enabled us to compare heterogeneous lots.

Refrigeration and freezing are common methods for stock squids in commercial fishing, and are useful if fixatives, such as formalin are forbidden on board. The results of Cohen (1976) and those presented here show that some indices in previously refrigerated or frozen specimens are not comparable with those of directly fixed ones, even if the same chemicals were used. The same applies to preservation in ethanol and formalin for several years, although to a lesser degree.

Long-term preservation effects increased the mean variance of indices and consequently the width of confidence limits, making the discrimination of real differences from preservation artifacts more difficult. Despite the small number of indices included in our analysis, the results show that numerical comparisons of populations or species of loliginids based on body dimensions and proportions should consider fixation and preservation induced artifacts, if lots were treated in different ways. The measurement of just caught, fresh specimens, or the comparison of lots fixed and preserved in the same way for similar periods is advisable, unless effects of specific treatments on indices are previously known.
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Fig. 2. Adjusted means and 95% confidence intervals of differences between morphometric indices before and after each treatment. White bars indicate short-term differences; black bars indicate long-term differences. Treatments differ significantly when their confidence intervals do not overlap.
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